## MONTGOMERY COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION

# Legislative Platform

**2014** Session of the Maryland General Assembly







## **VISION**

We inspire learning by providing the greatest public education to each and every student.

## **MISSION**

Every student will have the academic, creative problem solving, and social emotional skills to be successful in college and career.

## **CORE PURPOSE**

Prepare all students to thrive in their future.

## **CORE VALUES**

Learning Relationships Respect Excellence Equity

#### **Board of Education**

Mr. Christopher S. Barclay *President* 

Mr. Philip Kauffman Vice President

Ms. Shirley Brandman

Dr. Judith R. Docca

Mr. Michael A. Durso

Mrs. Patricia B. O'Neill

Mrs. Rebecca Smondrowski

Mr. Justin C. Kim Student Member

## **School Administration**

Dr. Joshua P. Starr Superintendent of Schools

Mr. Larry A. Bowers Chief Operating Officer

Dr. Beth Schiavino-Narvaez

Deputy Superintendent of

School Support and Improvement

Dr. Kimberly A. Statham

Deputy Superintendent of

Teaching, Learning, and Programs

850 Hungerford Drive Rockville, Maryland 20850 www.montgomeryschoolsmd.org

## MONTGOMERY COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION

## Legislative Platform

**2014** Session of the Maryland General Assembly

## **LEGISLATIVE POSITIONS**

Funding/Accountability	4
Local Board Authority	7
Students	8



#### ■ INVESTING IN OUR CHILDREN'S FUTURE

THE MONTGOMERY COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION believes that today's investments in the Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS) are crucial to a prosperous economy, strong business growth, and students' ability to compete for good jobs in the global, high-tech economy. School systems must be adequately funded to prepare our youth to support future economic health. We urge you and the entire General Assembly to remain vigilant against the potential negative impacts of unfunded mandates. These include significant additional workload burdens with no accompanying source of revenue as well as unintended consequences of well-intentioned legislation. We look forward to a successful 2014 legislative season in which children's welfare continues to be paramount.

#### **Adequacy Of Education Funding**

The Passage of the Bridge to Excellence in Public Education Act of 2002 (BTE) resulted in a dramatic change to education funding in Maryland. Even during challenging fiscal times, the General Assembly has held the line on education funding evidenced by having annually provided \$5.7 billion in education aid since 2008. Maryland's Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement, along with the BTE funding paradigm, provides assurance that the goals of adequacy, equity, and excellence are met.

Supplementing your previously legislated requirement to study Maryland's adequacy of education funding with a "Blue Ribbon Commission" will be critical to ensuring our schools remain the best in the nation. The historical legacy of support for quality education for Montgomery County Public Schools is greatly appreciated and we pledge to continue to work cooperatively with our county partners.

#### **Long-Range Planning and Capacity Issues**

MCPS continues to experience a burgeoning increase in enrollment and diversity along racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic lines. In six years, we have gained 14,000 mostly elementary students, the equivalent of 17 elementary schools and over the next 10 years we anticipate enrolling another 11,000 students! At the same time, we are severely challenged by limited capacity in our school facilities and will have to make significant expenditures in the capital and operating budgets to accommodate these student enrollment increases. State construction dollars are critical and providing adequate school capacity will not be possible given the existing state school construction funding stream. Over the years, MCPS has sought to balance the fiscal difficulties facing the county with the need to address our overcrowded schools and aging facilities and infrastructure. We are committed to working with our local county government and our local state delegation to address our tremendous needs in the most responsible way possible, while continuing to provide our students with an adequate learning environment.

#### **Common Core State Standards**

Governor Martin O'Malley and former State Superintendent Nancy Grasmick committed Maryland to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). This initiative provides a clear understanding and expectation of what each student should learn and master as well as the basis for developing a set of standards that are common across states. The resulting curriculum continues to be a local responsibility (or state-led, where appropriate). MCPS has already implemented a curriculum aligned with the CCSS. At the same time, the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) is developing new assessments that will be aligned with the CCSS and are anticipated to be implemented in Maryland in the 2014-15 school year, at which time it will replace the Maryland School Assessments (MSA). The misalignment of the CCSS and the MSA, which will yet again be administered during the 2013-2014 school year, continues to be an issue of great concern and any delay in the roll out of the PARCC now has implications for aspects of the College and Career Readiness Act of 2013. Additionally, as the CCSS are being implemented across Maryland and within our school district, we must ensure that this is not an unfunded mandate and that resources are available to bring to fruition the goals and objectives of these standards.

## **FUNDING/ACCOUNTABILITY**

## ■ STATE EDUCATION FUNDING

Maryland's public schools are ranked Number One in the nation. The *Bridge to Excellence in Public Schools Act of 2002* (BTE) represents the resources invested to support this achievement. Full funding of the BTE, including the Geographic Cost of Education Index (GCEI) and the annual inflation factor, is needed to sustain successful programs and services for our students.

To keep pace with rising standards for student performance, including the CCSS, state aid must be sustained. *The Bridge to Excellence in Public Schools Act of 2002* (BTE), conceived to ensure adequacy and equity in education funding, has led to increased student performance in Maryland. The CCSS initiative, agreed to by Maryland, has significant budgetary impacts which are yet to be accounted. Since 2008, the BTE targeted funding level has been maintained. However, the inflation factor, while reinstated in FY 2013 was capped at 1% and is set to expire in FY 2015.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education supports—

- Full-commitment to BTE funding, including the GCEI and student transportation.
- Mandating GCEI funding.
- Annual inflation adjustment.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education opposes—

- Any retreat from funding identified in BTE.
- Additional state mandates, unless accompanied by sufficient and ongoing state funding.

In addition, meeting the needs of our rapidly expanding diverse student population requires recognition of the additional resources needed to both meet educational needs and fulfill mandated monitoring and reporting requirements.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education also supports—

- Additional funding to support English for Speakers of Other Languages
- Reimbursement for students placed by state agencies at the Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents (RICA).

#### FUNDING/ACCOUNTABILITY

## ■ CAPITAL BUDGET/SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

State construction funds continue to be inadequate to meet the substantial needs of our burgeoning student enrollment. Limited state funding has forced Montgomery County to forward-fund critical projects that are eligible for state funding but for which no state funds are ever received. Total enrollment between 2007 and 2019 will increase by a remarkable 25,000 students. Within the next 6 years, we will have a deficit of nearly 500 classrooms. This rapid enrollment growth, coupled with maintenance needs in older schools, continues to put enormous pressures on school facilities. Without adequate school construction funding, MCPS will be forced into an over-reliance on relocatable classrooms while increasingly aging and less than adequate facilities will become the norm making it hard to meet the educational needs of our students.

For Fiscal Year 2014, the total state Capital Budget for school construction was \$370.4 million, including \$25 million held in reserve for School Security Initiatives and \$24.6 million held in reserve for Air-Conditioning Initiative projects. MCPS was eligible for \$149.3 million and received \$35.1 million. This year, there are 395 relocatables in use at schools to address enrollments that exceed capacity, with more anticipated next year. Increasingly, aging and less-than-adequate facilities will become the norm, making it hard to meet the educational needs of our students.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education supports—

- A robust and innovative school construction and renovation funding plan for FY 2015 to address school facility needs.
- Expanding the state's bonding capacity to meet Maryland's growing school facility needs.
- Revising current standards for the Interagency Committee square footage allowances for new and modernized schools to eliminate the penalty for building additional classrooms intended to reduce class size in support of student achievement.
- Developing a mechanism to ensure that locally forward-funded projects remain eligible for state funding, even after the project has been completed.
- Changing the Public School Construction Program to address inequities in funding needs related to the size and location of a Local Education Agency.
- Establishing incentives for green and energy-efficient school construction.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education opposes—

- Any reduction in the state and local cost-share formula.
- Any change to the threshold requirements for prevailing wages.

## FUNDING/ACCOUNTABILITY

## ■ SPECIAL EDUCATION NONPUBLIC TUITION

Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS) and the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) share the costs for providing services for special education students who are served in nonpublic schools. The program requires local school systems to pay 300 percent of the average per-pupil cost and, since 2010, 30 percent, rather than 20 percent, of any amount in excess; MSDE is required to fund the remaining 70 percent, rather than 80 percent. The Nonpublic Tuition Assistance Program has been beneficial in supporting our obligation to provide appropriate services to students who require intensive special education services.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education supports—

 Restoring the 80/20 cost-sharing formula of the Nonpublic Tuition Assistance Program.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education opposes—

 Any attempts to increase the local share of tuition for special education students served in nonpublic schools.

#### ■ PUBLIC FUNDING FOR PRIVATE SCHOOLS

Nonpublic schools are neither subject to state accountability measures nor to the same legal requirements as public schools, such as those set out in special education laws and teacher certification regulations. With the increasing unmet needs in public schools, state funds must be targeted to address the needs of public school students in Montgomery County and throughout the state.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education supports—

• Strong accountability for all public dollars spent on education.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education opposes—

- Appropriation of public funds for private and parochial schools.
- Direct aid to private and parochial students.
- Tuition tax credits, vouchers, or tax credits as a means of reimbursing parents who choose to send their children to private or parochial schools.
- Continuation or expansion of providing textbooks to private schools.



#### **LOCAL BOARD AUTHORITY**

THE MARYLAND STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION establishes, through regulations, broad statewide policies and mandates, with local boards of education responsible for establishing policies and procedures for the public schools within their jurisdiction. While the General Assembly has a role in crafting Maryland's Education Article, any unfunded requirements should be discretionary or authorizing, rather than mandatory. By retaining decision-making authority at the local level, including for operational issues such as school calendars, a board of education can best balance educational practices, available resources, public input, and accountability.

#### CURRICULUM AND ASSESSMENTS

In creating the State Board and local boards of education, the General Assembly has delegated to them the responsibility for development of content standards, curriculum, and assessments. The State Board establishes standards and the local boards adopt and implement locally developed programs with local funding to ensure that these standards are met and students are prepared to meet graduation requirements. The state and local boards of education can best balance educational practices and available resources to ensure that all students, schools, and school systems are held accountable for their work.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education supports—

- Maintaining the authority of local boards of education to determine educational policy, curriculum, graduation requirements, and administration.
- Retaining decision-making authority at the local level.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education opposes—

 Any efforts by the General Assembly to legislate curriculum or assessments, firmly believing that this role belongs to local boards of education in conjunction with the State Board.

#### ■ CHARTER SCHOOLS

In 2003, the General Assembly enacted legislation that created a charter school program. While the Maryland Public Charter School Act establishes an alternative means within a public school system to provide teaching and learning, there remains the need for a strong accountability system to ensure that any public charter school funds are appropriately spent.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education supports—

 Reaffirming that the sole authority for establishing public charter schools is vested in local boards of education, with an appropriate procedure for appeals of local decisions.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education opposes—

- Efforts to expand charter school authority beyond local school boards.
- Efforts to weaken academic or fiscal accountability requirements.
- Any attempt to deprive charter school employees of the rights and responsibilities of other public employees.

THE MONTGOMERY COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION is committed to providing a high-quality, world-class education that ensures success for every student through excellence in teaching and learning. A high-quality education is the fundamental right of every child. Montgomery County Public Schools is steadfast in ensuring that all students will receive the respect, encouragement, and opportunities they need to build the knowledge, skills, and attitudes to be successful, contributing members of a global society.

### ■ EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION

Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS) believes that investments in early childhood education are both essential and wise to ensure success for every student. A longitudinal study by the National Institutes of Health concluded that investing in early childhood education can yield impressive economic benefits, including an 18 percent return on investment. MCPS is a significant partner in Montgomery County's Early Childhood Initiative, which ensures that family-focused programs and services for young children are neighborhood-based, effective, responsive to cultural diversity, make a measurable, positive difference in children's well-being, and help prepare them for success in school.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education supports—

- State fiscal support for any expansion of local prekindergarten services.
- Statewide initiatives fostering school readiness through the provision of high-quality early childhood programs, including child care.
- Efforts that encourage the provision of an array of services by a variety of agencies.
- Efforts to ensure affordable child care co-payments for parents.
- Efforts that protect the safety, health, and well-being of children in child care.



#### ■ NUTRITION AND HEALTH

Thousands of low-income children in Maryland depend on school meals for the nutrition they need to learn and grow. Maryland Meals for Achievement provides funding for schools with high concentrations of poverty to provide breakfast to all students, regardless of family income. Most recently, of the 41 schools that are eligible to apply for the program and not in the program, only 10 were approved for the program in FY 2014. As a result, only 51 of the 82 eligible schools have been selected to participate in the program. The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) would only accept applications for the currently enrolled schools and a priority list for those eligible but not in the program. Additionally, the *Summer Food Service Program* ensures that children in lower income areas continue to receive nutritious meals during the summer months when school breakfasts and lunches are not available.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education supports—

- Expansion of Maryland Meals for Achievement as an entitlement to include all eligible schools that choose to apply.
- Efforts to increase federal funding for the Summer Food Service Program

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education opposes—

 Limiting the number of eligible schools from participation in Maryland Meals for Achievement.

## ■ SAFETY AND SECURITY

Safety in public schools has become increasingly important as threats to national and community security have taken on new meaning. The prevention of disruption and violence has always been a key component of long-term effective school safety strategies. The pursuit of a safe environment must be tempered by a balanced emphasis on the protection of individual student rights.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education supports—

• Innovative initiatives and funding that speak to strategies that ensure a safe and secure learning and working environment for students and staff, including those that address gang prevention and involvement and promote targeted interventions to reduce gang activity.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education opposes—

 Statewide approach that limits a school system's ability to respond to unique and unusual circumstances.

#### ■ HOME SCHOOLING

Maryland law recognizes home instruction as an alternative to public school enrollment and as a means for students to receive regular, thorough instruction. Currently, home school students are expressly authorized to participate in public school standardized testing.

#### The Montgomery County Board of Education opposes—

 Any requirement that home school students be allowed to participate in public school athletics or other extracurricular activities.



Published by the Department of Materials Management for the Board of Education o587.14ct • Editorial, Graphics & Publishing Services • 11/13 • 150 Copyright © 2013 Montgomery County Public Schools, Rockville, Maryland

